


## Nat5 Scoring

<b>Key project</b>	SB-001-14072025 PROVINCE DE GUERCIF, ORIENTAL, MAROC
<b>Title of the project activity</b>	Regenerative agriculture in Guercif, Morocco
<b>Company</b>	Samir BENHALIMA
<b>Date</b>	01/08/2025



Scope	Variable	Description	Level	Weighting
Types of credits	Credits	The Regenerative agriculture in Guercif, Morocco, is applying to three types of credits: carbon removal (VCC), water (VWC), and biodiversity (VBBC).	Multi-credit project	1,00
Climatic catastrophes	Forest fires	High Fire Risk across 100% of the project area, indicating significant susceptibility to fire-related disturbances.	High risk	0,10
	Floods	33% of the project area is classified as high flood risk, and 67% is classified as Medium Risk.	Medium risk	0,50
	Drought	100% of the area is under Drought Watch.	Medium risk	0,50
	Tornadoes, Hurricanes, & Waterspouts	The risk is extremely low to negligible in this region of Morocco.	No risk	1,00
Climate change	Loss of ecological conditions necessary for the adaptability of reforested species.	Based on the average of each species distribution probability, 81.390% of the ecological conditions required for the reforested species are currently present within the project area. Under future climate change scenarios, the availability of optimal conditions is projected to slightly increase to 82.802%, indicating a improved likelihood of survival and establishment for the reforested individuals.	Low	0,75
Legal, political and social conditions	Legal risk	The project has signed landowner agreements and documented ownership, reducing exposure to land tenure or legal disputes.	Low	1,00
	Political risk	The project operates in a politically stable region of Morocco with government support for reforestation and agriculture.	Low	1,00
	Social risk	Local communities are identified as stakeholders, and no displacement is involved, minimizing social resistance or conflict.	Low	1,00
Project Developer	Project developer's risk	The developer has committed to a 40-year timeline, but limited past project experience may present some execution uncertainties.	Medium risk	0,75
	Strength of the project team	The project is being run by the local community and landowners, so the motivation is present but some technical skills may be lacking.	Low	1,00
Transparency and communication	Transparency and clarity of project communication	The developer has made all non-confidential project information public and easily accessible in appropriate formats and has adopted appropriate strategies and measures to maintain communication with different stakeholders.		1,00
Participation and alliances	Involvement of the local community in the project team	Community members are engaged as workers.	The project employs members of the local community, who participated or participate in the operational and day-to-day running of the project	1,00
	Ability of the project to form partnerships	The project shows potential for forming partnerships, especially in agroforestry and sustainability sectors, though no formal partners are listed yet.		1,00
<b>Total</b>				<b>0,83</b>

### Nat5 Scoring Classification



**Notes:**

- The project score is "A" (0.83);
- The variables that lowered the project's score are mainly for vulnerability to climatic phenomena: forest fires, floods, and droughts.
- In terms of social, political and legal conditions the project was evaluated with the highest score (1);
- In terms of developer experience, the project was evaluated with the highest score (1);
- In terms of transparency and communication, the project was evaluated with the highest score (1).

*A detailed explanation of Nat5 Scoring can be found in the aOCP Project Procedures document version 2.3*  
<https://www.nat5.bio/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/1.3.-aOCP-Project-Procedures-V2.3.pdf>